Document 12

Filed 01/07/25

Page 1 of 2 PageID #:

Case 2:25-cv-00014-JRS-MKK

is the Southern District of Indiana. (*Id.* at 3.) The magistrate judge also determined that transfer to the proper venue "is appropriate in the interest of justice." (*Id.*) Therefore, the magistrate judge recommended the Court deny the motion to dismiss and transfer the petition to the Southern District of Indiana. (*Id.*)

The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on the parties and notified them that any objections were due within 30 days. (Doc. 11 at 3-4.) The Court advised the parties that the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in the waiver of the 'rights to challenge the magistrate judge's factual findings' on appeal." (*Id.*, quoting *Wilkerson v. Wheeler*, 772 F.3d 834, 838-39 (9th Cir. 2014).) Neither Petitioner nor Respondent filed objections, and the time to do so has expired.

According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), this Court performed a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

- 1. The Findings and Recommendations dated November 27, 2024 (Doc. 11) are **ADOPTED** in full.
- 2. Respondent's motion to dismiss (Doc. 9) is **DENIED**.
- 3. The petition is **TRANSFERRED** to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Indiana.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 7, 2025

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

22 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT JOI

_ _